

Joseph Nechvatal, nimble Odysseus (2014) 44x66" acrylic on velour

Katia Haus: Much of your work has to do with data and sound. How much did your early days as La Monte Young's archivist influenced you on this?

ing the Fluxus collection of La Monte Young back in the late-70s and early-80s. The No Wave Colab (Collaborative Projects) scene was wildly interdisciplinary: visual artists playing in bands, acting in plays and films, writing poetry and theory, shooting Super-8 film, video, sculpture and audio while hanging out together at Clubs like Tier 3 and The Mudd Club. During the No Wave period, I was also reading Nietzsche while studying philosophy at Columbia University. It was in that fecund atmosphere that I decided that I would strive to interweave the two major trends in the history of art: the Apollonian and Dionysian.

By fusing the loose chaotic freedom of No Wave with the structured minimal conceptualism of a La Monte Young, I aimed to fuse hot post-conceptual chaotic disturbance with cool conceptual data forms. My gray palimpsest drawings from that post-punk period ~ and the slick photo-blowups of the drawings ~ were an attempt at situating art somewhere between the surface of cold conceptualism and the chasm of shattering incoherence of post-conceptualism, where we must each pick through the meshwork and recover figurative meaning out of entangled ground.

Katia Haus: What kind of projects did you developed with Colab and which one was the most important for you?

Joseph Nechvatal: In the early 1980s, myself and many other artists, were interested in the distributive capacity of art based in reproduction. Most

by Walter Benjamin. Important for my formation was when I produced the Colab sponsored show (with performances) simply called John Heartfield at ABC No Rio, held from November 1st to November 18th in 1983. Xeroxes and photomechanical blowups of John Heartfield's (1891-1968) art, torn from a book, were the maquettes that I produced from. Reproductions of his anti-Nazi/anti-Fascist photomontages were wheat-pasted on the walls of ABC No Rio, walls that had been were painted a sinister black from top to bottom. I filled the space with audio collages by Bradley Eros and hit the streets with Mitch Corber, putting posters all over downtown: advertisements for the show along with powerful John Heartfield images.

Later, I organized *The Art of John Heartfield* event that was held at Kamikaze Club at 531 W 19th Street on March 21st 1984 that featured art or performance by Edwige, David Wojnarowicz, Bradley Eros, Kiki Smith, Doug Ashford, Aline Mare, Joe Lewis, Mitch Corber and Christof Kohlhofer, among others.

It seems impossible to understand in our age of ubiquitous cell-phone photography, but no photos were taken of any aspect of the John Heartfield events ~ that I know of.

Katia Haus: Why did you decided to start the Tellus cassette series and which is the importance for you of making data banks, in this case a casettography?

Iosenh Nechvatal: As the vital New York downtown scene continues to

http://www.ubu.com/sound/tellus.html

Tellus Audio Cassette Magazine was created in 1983 by me, curator Claudia Gould, and Carol Parkinson, a composer and director of Harvestworks/Studio PASS. We met for drinks to discuss my idea of a magazine on cassette that would feature interesting and challenging sound works. With the advent of the Walkman and the boom box, we perceived a need for an alternative to radio programming and the commercially available recordings on the market at that time. We then began to collect, produce, document and define the art of audio through publishing works from local, national and international artists. Sometimes we worked with contributing editors, experts in their fields, who proposed themes and collected the best works from that genre. Unknown artists were teamed with well-known artists, historical works were juxtaposed with contemporary and high art with popular art, all in an effort to enhance the crossover communication between the different mediums of art ~ visual, music, performance and spoken word.

Katia Haus: How were your works and thoughts pushed during and after your work in Colab and which are de advantages and disadvantages of working collaboratively?

Joseph Nechvatal: I was influenced by Colab member Jenny Holzer and Colab associate Barbara Kruger. I was at the time photo-mechanically blowing up my small drawings, making Xerox books ~ Xerox was brand new at the time ~ and street posters. Even painting-centric artists like David

anomon monto an, mad and and and action and according colors a microsom in 1 miles

like low-priced multiples (The A. More Stores and the Artists Direct Mail Catalogue - a co-production with Printed Matter), newsprint publishing (X Magazine, Spanner, Bomb), No Wave film production and screening, video and cable T.V. (Potato Wolf and the MWF Video Club), live art performance, audio cassette publishing and mail art distribution networks (Tellus Audio Cassette Magazine) have marked me for life. This all brought me closer to Dick Higgins's intermedia approach to art.

Katia Haus: Would you say that the work you produced after Colab, specially your paintings, are collaborations with machines and computer viruses?

Joseph Nechvatal: Somewhat in terms of the custom artificial life software that I use to make the paintings.

Katia Haus: How have you seen that computers have changed the way we work with data and information?

Joseph Nechvatal: I see computers and art as a means of practicing politics on one level. In the mid-1980s I could already observe the coming rise of electronic media (computational media, more precisely) as the controlling, organizing force of social power. I felt that to adequately address this topic I should approach it from inside of electronic medium, and not from an artisanal pre-electronic practice

Joseph Nechvatal: Working as an archivist for LaMonte Young, meeting John Cage, and learning of the famous *9 Evenings: Theatre and Engineering* of 1966 that Robert Rauschenberg helped organize with the engineer Billy Kluver was salient to my formation in this regard. Rauschenberg understood that through the mediation of chance and machines, data can be contorted, thus changing our awareness of what technology is or can be.

Katia Haus: Do you believe in Singularity and on Kurzweil's idea of Spiritual Machines?

Joseph Nechvatal: No.

Katia Haus: *The Attractions of Cybism* show idea you had was never realized yet is a key point in terms of your art theory. What was it about? And is it important for you to keep it unrealized?

Joseph Nechvatal: Cybism is an art theory term I developed as a sub-division of viractuality at the turn of the century. I proposed the concept for an exhibition that never was realized, but the idea of cybism was developed into a paper that I delivered at ECAM (Encuentro de Ciencia y Arte) in 2008 at the invitation of Juan Díaz Infante in Mexico City.

Cybism is a sensibility emerging in art respecting the integration of certain aspects of science, technology and consciousness – a consciousness struggling to attend to the prevailing current spirit of our age. This cybistic zeitgeist I

ized repertoires.

Katia Haus: I understand viractualism as a term that has to do with our immersion into a work of art. However, I believe cybism, though it's a division of viractuality, is more about connection. How did the internet influence you on both terms? Do you think they could exist without it?

Joseph Nechvatal: For me, viractualism and cybism is best understood as emerging from the vast incognizant digital totality of the internet within which we currently live ~ an immense digital assemblage-aggregate which in cybist manner is experienced as exceeding us ~ as a sea of data.